

# Evaluation of intact fish skin grafts plus standard care in the treatment of venous leg ulcers: an interim analysis of the THOR trial

Thomas E Serena,<sup>1</sup> MD | Brianna Tramelli<sup>1</sup> | Barkley Booker<sup>1</sup> | Emily King,<sup>1</sup> MS | Dereck Shi,<sup>1</sup> MS | John C. Lantis<sup>2</sup> MD

<sup>1</sup>SerenaGroup Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Mount Sinai West Hospital, New York, NY, USA

**Correspondence:** Thomas E Serena (tserena@serenagroups.com)

**Received:** 27 October 2025 | **Accepted:** 30 October 2025

**Funding:** The THOR trial was conducted under a grant from Kerecis Limited.

**Keywords:** Cellular, acellular and matrix-like products | venous leg ulcer | interim analysis | chronic wounds | clinical trial design

## Abstract

**Background:** Venous leg ulcers (VLUs) are nonhealing wounds that pose considerable clinical and economic challenges. Healing outcomes with existing standard-of-care (SOC) remain limited, creating a pressing need for more effective therapeutic options

**Methods:** An interim analysis of this randomized controlled multicenter clinical trial evaluated intact fish skin graft (IFSG) and SOC versus SOC alone in nonhealing VLUs. The primary end-point was the percentage of target ulcers achieving complete wound closure in 12 weeks, defined as 100% re-epithelialization without drainage for two consecutive weeks, confirmed by blinded independent review.

**Results:** The statistical analysis revealed that the treatment arm trended toward improved full wound closure at 12 weeks over SOC by 1.52 (credible interval: 1.37–2.22) in terms of relative risk (Treatment/SOC). This translates to an improvement of 8.53% (credible interval: 5.60%–19.7%) in percentage terms. In the ITT population, the IFSG + SOC arm achieved a 47.6% closure rate versus 21.7% with SOC alone, a 25.9% absolute gain that was not statistically significant (n = 21, 95% CI -0.02% to 0.493%, p = 0.07,  $\alpha$  = 0.05). In the ITT and PP population, IFSG + SOC achieved a higher mean area reduction than SOC.

**Conclusion:** The interim analysis demonstrated a positive trend favoring IFSG products over SOC alone. While the present interim analysis provides promising early results, limitations inherent to its preliminary nature warrant consideration. The alignment of these interim findings with the broader body of evidence reinforces biological plausibility and strengthens confidence that the final analysis will yield clinically meaningful results supported by high-quality evidence.

## Introduction

An estimated 4.5 billion individuals globally were affected by chronic venous disease stages C1-C6 in 2020, and approximately 0.1-0.3% of the world's population developed a VLU.<sup>1</sup> Despite advances in treatment, approximately 7% of VLUs are estimated to remain unhealed after 12 months,<sup>2</sup> and VLUs have a recurrence rate exceeding 70% after they are closed.<sup>3</sup> In 2022, the United States faced an estimated annual economic burden of over \$4.9 billion for treating

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license, which enables reusers to copy and distribute the material in any medium or format in unadapted form only, for noncommercial purposes only, and only so long as attribution is given to the creator.

© 2025 The Author(s). International Journal of Tissue Repair

VLUs, covering costs related to healthcare practitioners, wound care products, inpatient hospitalization, medications, and compression therapy.<sup>4</sup>

The use of biologically sourced matrices such as fish skin grafts represent a distinctive approach to the treatment of VLUs that leverages preserved extracellular components to support tissue regeneration. The intact fish skin graft (IFSG; MariGen, Kerecis, Iceland) preserves naturally occurring components such as lipids, proteins, elastin, glycans, and other structural biomolecules. The graft is manufactured using a proprietary process and is indicated for the management of partial- and full-thickness wounds, including pressure ulcers, chronic vascular ulcers, diabetic ulcers, traumatic wounds, surgical wounds, and draining wounds.

The standard IFSG consists of a single-component graft created from the minimally processed skin of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) sustainably harvested from Arctic waters surrounding Iceland. The cod skin exhibits gross structural similarity to human dermis.<sup>5,6</sup> During processing, the skin is de-scaled, decellularized, lyophilized, packaged, and sterilized with ethylene oxide, yielding a biocompatible, non-crosslinked, single-use medical device with a 3-year shelf life.

## Materials and methods

THOR is a randomized controlled multicenter clinical trial designed to determine the difference in the proportion of subjects achieving complete closure of hard-to-heal VLU between Intact Fish Skin Graft plus standard of care (SOC) (IFSG/SOC) versus SOC alone over 12 weeks. (clinicaltrials.gov #NCT06693570). This study was conducted at 7 SerenaGroup, Inc. or affiliated centers throughout the United States with 136 patients with nonhealing VLUs. Enrollment for this study began November 2024 and interim analysis was conducted October 2025. Sample size estimation predicts that 200 patients will be required. The study population was drawn from patients with VLUs who were attending wound clinics.

## Objectives and endpoints

The primary objective of the THOR clinical trial was to determine the difference in the proportion of subjects achieving complete closure of hard-to-heal VLUs between the IFSG/SOC arm versus the SOC alone arm over 12 weeks. The primary endpoint was the percentage of target ulcers achieving complete wound closure within 12 weeks.

An additional important endpoint evaluated was percentage wound area reduction from Treatment Visit 1 (TV-1) to Treatment Visit (TV-13) measured weekly with digital planimetry and physical examination.

## Diagnosis

The diagnosis of VLUs relies on a detailed medical history, comprehensive physical examination, and selected diagnostic testing when indicated. VLUs most often occur in the gaiter area of the lower leg, particularly near the medial malleolus, and are commonly preceded by clinical evidence of chronic venous insufficiency such as edema, varicose veins, lipodermatosclerosis, and hemosiderin deposition.<sup>7</sup> These ulcers typically display irregular borders, a shallow wound bed with fibrinous exudate, and periwound skin changes including hyperpigmentation or stasis dermatitis. Pain intensity varies but is frequently described as a dull ache that worsens with leg dependency and is relieved by elevation.

A comprehensive clinical history is essential to distinguish VLUs from other chronic wound etiologies.<sup>8</sup> Key historical factors include previous venous disease, episodes of deep vein thrombosis, compliance to compression therapy, ulcer recurrence, occupational or lifestyle patterns involving prolonged standing, obesity, and prior interventions for wound care. The differential diagnosis should consider and rule out arterial ulcers, diabetic foot ulcers, pressure injuries, vasculitic lesions, and malignancy-related ulcers.

Bedside neurological evaluation was conducted to assess for loss of protective sensation. Vascular assessment was performed in all potential participants, with the ankle-brachial index (ABI) serving as the primary screening tool. Individuals with an ABI greater than 0.7 met inclusion criteria, whereas values above 1.3 prompted additional investigation for arterial calcification. In cases of incompressible or calcified arteries, commonly observed in patients with long-standing diabetes, alternative assessments such as the toe-brachial index (TBI;  $\geq 0.6$  indicating adequate perfusion) were used. A transcutaneous oxygen measurement (TCOM) of  $\geq 40$  mmHg was also accepted as evidence of sufficient perfusion.

## Vulnerable populations

Although vulnerable subjects were not specifically recruited for this study, vulnerable subjects were present in the potential subject pool.

## Product description

IFSG is a biologic tissue graft designed to facilitate tissue repair through preservation of the native extracellular

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license, which enables reusers to copy and distribute the material in any medium or format in unadapted form only, for noncommercial purposes only, and only so long as attribution is given to the creator.

architecture of fish skin. The graft retains a porous, three-dimensional collagen network that provides a scaffold for cellular infiltration, neovascularization, and granulation tissue formation.

The molecular components, including lipid, protein, and sugar components inherent to the material contribute to a physiologic healing environment, maintaining moisture balance and supporting host tissue integration. The flexibility of the graft allows it to conform closely to the wound bed, while its handling characteristics enable precise placement. IFSG is supplied as a sterile, single-use, sheet available in multiple sizes for use in a range of acute and chronic wound types.

## Subject characteristics

Individuals with nonhealing VLU were recruited from participating wound care centers. After providing written informed consent in accordance with Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval and agreeing to comply with study procedures, participants underwent screening to assess eligibility. Inclusion and exclusion criteria used for enrollment are summarized in [Table 1](#).

## Study procedures

Participants underwent a structured sequence of clinical visits including screening, treatment, healing confirmation, and follow-up phases to ensure accurate eligibility assessment, standardized wound care, consistent intervention delivery, and reliable endpoint determination. Subjects were evaluated weekly ( $\pm 3$  days) over a 12-week treatment period, with any additional dressing changes recorded as unscheduled visits and abbreviated assessments performed when needed.

Participants who did not meet eligibility criteria at initial screening but were subsequently determined eligible were re-consented and assigned a new screening number. Up to three screening attempts were allowed, and those who subsequently met all inclusion and no exclusion criteria were enrolled. At the screening visit conducted approximately 14 days prior to enrollment, informed consent was obtained, followed by a review of medical history to assess eligibility based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. Demographic data (including height, weight, BMI, sex, and ethnicity), medical and medication histories, and current use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and opioids were recorded. A vascular screening test was performed unless recent results ( $\leq 3$  months) were available. Vital signs were measured, and a general physical examination was conducted.

Additional assessments included the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA), HbA1c testing (unless available within 3 months), and condition-specific evaluations: Wagner grade, CEAP Classification, Fitzpatrick skin type, pain intensity via Visual Analog Scale (VAS), and detailed wound characterization (granulation tissue, nonviable tissue, depth, exudate, and periwound skin). To identify nonhealing VLUs, historical wound measurements from two weeks prior to screening were collected; a reduction in wound size of  $>20\%$  during this historical run-in period resulted in screen failure.

During the two-week screening phase, SOC wound management included cleansing with normal sterile saline (NSS), sharp debridement, post-debridement ulcer photography and measurement using the provided imaging device, and application of silicone or foam dressings. Antiseptics were permitted during the screening phase but not during treatment. Skin protectants were used as needed for periwound maceration, and compression therapy was applied according to manufacturer guidelines.

At the enrollment/randomization visit (Treatment Visit (TV) 1; Day 0), eligibility was re-confirmed, medication changes were reviewed, and a symptom-directed physical examination performed. The percentage area reduction (PAR) over the screening phase was verified to remain  $<25\%$ . Vital signs were taken, wound characteristics recorded, and questionnaires, including the VAS for pain, Forgotten Wound Score (FWS), Wound Quality of Life (wQOL), and Functional Ambulatory Category Scale (FACS), were completed. Eligible participants were randomized to receive IFSG + SOC or SOC alone. Wounds were cleansed, debrided, photographed, and measured using the imaging device prior to dressing application. Dressings were applied per protocol, with permission from the medical monitor required for any deviations or use of additional absorptive layers in highly exudative wounds, or application of compression therapy. Participants returned weekly (TV-2 to TV-12) for safety and efficacy monitoring, including adverse event assessment, medication review, vital sign collection, wound examination, VAS for pain, and administration of FWS and wQOL questionnaires at designated intervals (TV-4, TV-8, TV-12). SOC or SOC with IFSG treatment were performed at each visit, following identical cleansing, debridement, measurement, dressing, and compression therapy procedures. At the final treatment visit (TV-13), or earlier if wound closure occurred, adverse events, medication updates, pain, FWS, wQOL, and FACS assessments were performed. For unhealed ulcers, wound characteristics were documented, and follow-up care arranged. Subjects with wound closure returned for a closure confirmation visit  $14 \pm 3$  days later, at which time adverse events, medications, pain, investigator confirmation of closure, ulcer imaging, and independent blinded verification were completed.

TABLE 1 | Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Must be at least 18 years of age or older.</li><li>• Must have a nonhealing venous leg ulcer present for a minimum of 4 weeks and cannot have received more than 52 weeks of high-level compression prior to the initial screening visit.</li><li>• No visible signs of healing objectively, less than 40% reduction in wound size in the last 4 weeks.</li><li>• At randomization, must have a target ulcer with a minimum surface area of 1 cm<sup>2</sup> and a maximum surface area of 25 cm<sup>2</sup> measured post-debridement.</li><li>• The affected limb must have adequate perfusion confirmed by vascular assessment. Any of the following methods performed within 3 months of the first screening visit are acceptable:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>◦ ABI between 0.7 and ≤1.3;</li><li>◦ TBI ≥0.6;</li><li>◦ TCOM ≥40 mmHg;</li><li>◦ PVR: biphasic.</li></ul></li><li>• If two or more ulcers, and they are separated by at least 2 cm post-debridement, the largest ulcer satisfying the inclusion and exclusion criteria will be designated as the target ulcer. If the potential subject has two or more ulcers and they are separated by less than 2cm, the entire wound area is designated as the target ulcer.</li><li>• Must have a Functional Ambulatory Category Score (FACS) of 3 or greater.</li><li>• Must consent to using the prescribed compression method for the duration of the study.</li><li>• Must agree to attend the weekly study visits required by the protocol.</li><li>• Must be willing and able to participate in the informed consent process.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Known to have a life expectancy of &lt;6 months.</li><li>• Ulcer is determined to be due to a condition other than venous insufficiency.</li><li>• Exposes muscle, tendon, or bone.</li><li>• Exhibits overt clinical signs and symptoms of infection with cellulitis surrounding the wound margin.</li><li>• Has known or suspected skin malignancy.</li><li>• Has been previously exposed to radiation.</li><li>• Ulcer duration is greater than one year after having received high level compression without closure for a year or more.</li><li>• Has end stage renal disease requiring dialysis.</li><li>• Receiving immunosuppressants (including systemic corticosteroids at doses greater than 10mg of prednisone per day or equivalent) or cytotoxic chemotherapy or is taking medications that the PI believes will interfere with wound healing (e.g., biologics).</li><li>• Has a medical or psychological condition that may interfere with study assessments.</li><li>• Was treated with hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) or a cellular or tissue-based product (CTP) in the 30 days prior to the initial screening visit.</li><li>• Has a malnutrition indicator score &lt;17 as measured on the Mini Nutritional Assessment.</li><li>• Has glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) greater than or equal to 12% within 3 months of the initial screening visit.</li><li>• Surface area has reduced in size by more than 20% in the 2 weeks prior to the initial screening visit ('historical' run-in period).</li><li>• Surface area measurement decreases by 25% or more during the active 2-week screening phase: the 2 weeks from the initial screening visit (SV-1) to the TV-1 visit during which time the potential subject received SOC.</li><li>• Has a Functional Ambulatory Category Score (FACS) of less than 3.</li><li>• Has a body mass index (BMI) greater than 42.</li><li>• In the last 8 weeks the patient has undergone revascularization (surgical or stenting) to the affected leg.</li><li>• Venous intervention in the affected limb in the last 30 days.</li><li>• Presence of any condition (including current drug or alcohol abuse, medical or psychiatric condition) that is likely to impair understanding of or compliance with the study protocol in the judgment of the Investigator.</li><li>• Pregnancy at enrollment or women who are breast-feeding, or women of childbearing potential who are planning to become pregnant during the time of the study OR are unwilling/unable to use acceptable methods of contraception (birth control pills, barriers, or abstinence).</li><li>• Known allergy to any of the components of fish skin or bovine collagen.</li><li>• Participation in a clinical trial involving treatment with an investigational product within the previous 30 days.</li><li>• Has a disorder that would create unacceptable risk of operative complications.</li></ul>

Subjects achieving closure were offered participation in a separate 12-month durability follow-up protocol, including in-person or telehealth visits at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months to confirm continued healing. Early withdrawals underwent final-visit procedures when feasible, and unscheduled visits were conducted for adverse event review, medication updates, and dressing changes as needed. At study exit, participants with unhealed wounds were transitioned back to physician-directed SOC. Independent confirmation of closure was performed by two blinded wound care specialists

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license, which enables reusers to copy and distribute the material in any medium or format in unadapted form only, for noncommercial purposes only, and only so long as attribution is given to the creator.

TABLE 2 | Study schedule

	SV	TV-1	TV-2, TV-3	TV-4,	TV-5, TV-6, TV-7	TV-8,	TV-9, TV-10, TV-11	TV-12	TV-13	CCV
Window period	-14	Day 0	Week 1, Week 2	Week 3,	Week 4, Week 5, Week 6	Week 7,	Week 8, Week 9, Week 10	Week 11	Week 12	+14
Record medical history and demographic information	X									
Assessment of eligibility	X	X								
Sign informed consent form	X									
Vascular screening test	X									
Physical exam	X	X								
Mini Nutrition Assessment (MNA)	X									
HbA1c	X									
CEAP Classification	X									
Fitzpatrick Scale	X									
Historical measurement	X									
Randomization		X								
Assessment for AE and SAE		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Review medication for changes		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Vital signs	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Wound assessment	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Pain assessment (VAS)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
wQOL		X		X		X		X	X	
FWS		X		X		X		X	X	
FACS		X							X	
Study ulcer cleaning, debridement (if applicable)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Study ulcer area with imaging device	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Treatment based on randomization		X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Apply dressing	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	

reviewing de-identified images from closure and confirmation visits, with discrepancies resolved in favor of the principal investigator's assessment.

### Subject withdrawal

All participants had the right to withdraw from the study at any time during the treatment period without prejudice. The completion status of each participant's involvement in the clinical trial was documented. In the event that study treatment or protocol-required observations were discontinued for any participant, the reason(s) for discontinuation were recorded. The investigator had the authority to withdraw a participant from the study at any time if deemed medically necessary. Whenever feasible, the reason for withdrawal or early termination was documented. A participant was classified as lost to follow-up if they could not be reached after five telephone contact attempts and three written communications.

### Subject compensation

Participants received a reimbursement of \$50 USD following completion of each study visit. This payment was intended to offset costs related to study participation, such as travel, parking, and the additional time required for visit procedures and data collection.

**TABLE 3 |** Demographic summary statistics by treatment group..

Variable	Standard of care	IFSG	P-value
Age (Years)			
N (Mean)	23 (70.5)	21 (67.0)	0.383
Sex, N (%)			
Male	11 (47.8)	15 (65.2)	0.199
Female	12 (52.1)	6 (26.0)	
Fitzpatrick Scale, N (%)			
Type I	4 (17.3)	6 (26.0)	0.784
Type II	12 (52.1)	8 (38.0)	
Type III	4 (17.3)	4 (19.0)	
Type IV	1 (4.3)	2 (9.5)	
Type V	1 (4.3)	1 (4.7)	
Type VI	1 (4.3)	0 (0)	
Race, N (%)			
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1 (4.3)	1 (4.7)	0.847
Asian	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Black	3 (13.0)	2 (9.5)	
Pacific Islander	0 (0)	0 (0)	
White	15 (65.0)	16 (76.1)	
Other	4 (17.3)	2 (9.5)	
Current tobacco use, N (%)			
Yes	4 (17.3)	4 (19.0)	1.000
No	19 (82.6)	17 (80.9)	



**TABLE 4 | Stratification summary statistics**

Variable	Standard of care (n = 23)	IFSG (n = 21)	P-value
Wound area, N (%)			
Less than 10cm <sup>2</sup>	20 (86.0)	18 (85.7)	0.488
Greater than 10cm <sup>2</sup>	3 (13.0)	3 (14.2)	
Wound age, N (%)			
Wound >60 days	5 (21.7)	2 (9.5)	1.000
Wound <60 days	18 (78.2)	19 (90.4)	

## Results

A total of 136 VLU patients were screened from multiple sites and were evaluated in the interim analysis. Based upon Intent-to-Treat (ITT) population, 34 patients received IFG plus SOC, versus 34 patients in SOC cohort. However, at the time of interim analysis, 10 patients from both treatment groups are considered ongoing and therefore cannot be evaluated. Furthermore, three patients were discontinued during the study and 43 were excluded during screening. The large screen failure rate was driven by the number of patients that healed too rapidly during the run in phase of the trial. This results in a total of 44 completed patients (21 IFSG+SOC and 23 SOC only). Summary statistics on demographic variables are provided in [Table 3](#).

No statistically significant differences were observed across treatment groups (all  $p > 0.05$ ), suggesting that randomization achieved adequate baseline balance. Wound area and wound age were used as stratification factors in the trial design, and at this interim analysis, they are summarized descriptively to assess balance, shown in [Table 4](#). The reported p-values are exploratory checks of randomization balance and were not used to adjust the interim analysis endpoints.

The primary endpoint was assessed for the interim analysis. The primary endpoint is the percentage of target ulcers achieving complete wound closure in 12 weeks. Additionally, the percent area reduction (PAR) from TV-1 to TV-13 measured weekly with digital photographic planimetry, using an imaging device, and physical examination were analyzed. The intent-to-treat (ITT) and per protocol (PP) populations were analyzed.

In the ITT population, the IFSG + SOC arm achieved a 47.6% closure rate versus 21.7% with SOC alone, a 25.9% absolute gain that was not statistically significant ( $n = 21$ , 95% CI -0.02% to 0.493%,  $p = 0.07$ ,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

Among the PP population, closure achieved 44.4% on IFSG + SOC versus 23.5% on SOC, a 20.9% difference, which likewise did not reach significance ( $n = 18$ , 95% CI -0.1% to 46.8%,  $p = 0.193$ ,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

Within each arm, any individual PAR value falling below  $Q1 - 1.5 \times IQR$  or above  $Q3 + 1.5 \times IQR$  was flagged and excluded. For ITT, IFSG + SOC outperformed SOC on both average and median wound-area reduction, with a mean PAR ~75% versus ~41.6% (without outliers). Summary statistics are provided in [Table 5](#).

For PP, IFSG + SOC outperformed SOC on both average and median wound-area reduction, with summary statistics for each treatment group (without outliers) reported in [Table 6](#).

Sequential images shown in [Figure 1](#) document the trajectory of wound healing from SV-1, TV-1, and HCV in a patient assigned to the IFSG with SOC treatment arm.

**TABLE 5 | Percent Area Reduction (PAR) summary statistics without outliers for ITT**

Treatment arm	n	Mean	Standard deviation	Median	IQR
SOC	21	41.68	60.74	54.56	87.04
IFSG + SOC	19	75.00	30.96	100.00	45.11

**TABLE 6 |** Percent Area Reduction (PAR) summary statistics without outliers for PP

Treatment arm	n	Mean	Standard deviation	Median	IQR
SOC	16	51.51	65.04	75.05	63.84
IFSG + SOC	17	74.66	31.88	100.00	46.00

Discussion

Interim analysis included a data lock on the electronic data capture (EDC) system and quality assurance review prior to data analysis. The purpose of this interim analysis is to determine balance across treatment groups and comparison to current standard of care for the primary endpoint and PAR. Patients were stratified by wound area, wound age, and patient age. There is no significant difference between strata between treatment groups, therefore, the randomization scheme achieved a balanced baseline. Additional analysis by the stratification group is planned for the final analysis.

For the primary endpoint, IFSG + SOC was not statistically significant in the ITT or PP population. The small differences in sample size between populations may influence the results of the Chi-squared test, and additional enrollment will occur until the planned sample size is met for all treatment groups.

Percent area reduction provides insight into the closure rates by treatment group. In the ITT and PP population, IFSG + SOC achieved a higher mean area reduction than SOC. This provides promising results at interim and confirmation of the clinical trial design prior to final analysis.

While the present interim analysis provides promising early results, limitations inherent to its preliminary nature warrant consideration. The current data lock represents fewer than half of the total planned enrollment (44 analyzed of a target 120 subjects), resulting in limited statistical power and wider confidence intervals than anticipated in the final design. Consequently, the precision of the effect estimates is constrained, and several endpoints did not achieve statistical significance despite clear directional trends favoring the IFSG arm. Another limitation of the data is that enrollment is ongoing. Nonetheless, this pattern of directional improvement in closure rate and greater percent area reduction suggests that the observed effect is unlikely to be spurious and may strengthen as additional participants complete the 12-week protocol.

Because this is an interim study, the certainty of the evidence under GRADE criteria is appropriately categorized as moderate, primarily due to sample size, incomplete fol-low-up, and interim reporting. However, the trial design of a prospective randomization, blinded endpoint adjudication, and multicenter enrollment mitigates major sources of bias and supports a trajectory toward high-certainty evidence once full accrual is reached. The direction of effect is consistent with previous published data on IFSG in chronic and complex wounds, which have repeatedly demonstrated accelerated healing, improved granulation, and reduced treatment duration compared with SOC.<sup>9,10</sup> The alignment of these interim findings with the broader body of evidence reinforces biological plausibility and strengthens confidence that the final analysis will yield clinically meaningful results supported by high-quality evidence.

Conclusion

The interim analysis demonstrated a positive trend favoring IFSG products over SOC alone. While the present interim



**FIGURE 1 |** Digital images from SV-1, TV-1, and HCV (left to right), IFSG with SOC treatment arm. Patients gave consent for publication of images.



analysis provides promising early results, limitations inherent to its preliminary nature warrant consideration. The alignment of these interim findings with the broader body of evidence reinforces biological plausibility and strengthens confidence that the final analysis will yield clinically meaningful results supported by high-quality evidence.

### Author contributions

Conceptualization, T.S.; methodology, T.S., B.T., E.K. and D.S.; data curation, D.S.; writing—original draft preparation, T.S., B.T., E.K. and D.S.; writing—review and editing, T.S., B.T. E.K. and D.S.; visualization, J.C.L.; project administration, B.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

### Informed consent statement

Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

### Data availability statement

The data is proprietary but is available on request to the corresponding author.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest. The funders of the THOR study had no role in the design of the study; in the writing of this manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

### Declaration of generative AI

During the preparation of this work the authors used OpenAI to support drafting and language refinement of portions of this manuscript, including improving clarity, grammar, and flow. The AI tool was not used for data generation, data analysis, interpretation of results, or drawing scientific conclusions. After using this tool/service, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the content of the publication.

### References

1. Sierra-Juárez MA, et al. Chronic venous disease: Literature review. *Revista Médica Del Hospital General de México*. 2021; 84(2),26. <https://doi.org/10.24875/hgmx.20000072>
2. Harrisson MB, Graham ID, Friedberg E et al. Assessing the population with leg and foot ulcers. *Can Nurse*. 2001; 97(2), 18–23.
3. Abbade LPF et al. A sociodemographic, clinical study of patients with venous ulcer. *Int J Dermatol*. 2005; 44(12): 989-92. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-4632.2004.02276.x>
4. Kolluri R et al. An estimate of the economic burden of venous leg ulcers associated with deep venous disease. *Vasc Med*. 2022; 27(1): 63-72. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1358863X211028298>
5. Magnusson S, Baldursson BT, Kjartansson H, Rolfsson O, Sigurjonsson GF. Regenerative and antibacterial properties of acellular fish skin grafts and human amnion/chorion membrane: Implications for tissue preservation in combat casualty care. *Military Medicine*. 2017; 182(S1), 383–388. <https://doi.org/10.7205/milmed-d-16-00142>
6. Yang CK, Polanco TO, Lantis JC. A prospective, postmarket, compassionate clinical evaluation of a novel acellular fish-skin graft which contains omega-3 fatty acids for the closure of hard-to-heal lower extremity chronic ulcers. *Wounds*. 2016; 28(4), 112–118
7. Raffetto JD, Ligi D, Maniscalco R, Khalil RA, Mannello F. Why Venous Leg Ulcers Have Difficulty Healing: Overview on Pathophysiology, Clinical Consequences, and Treatment. *J Clin Med*. 2020;10(1):29. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10010029>
8. Stacey MC, Sibbald RG, Evans R, et al. Canadian Consensus Statement for the Management of Venous Leg Ulcers. *Int Wound J*. 2025;22(4):e70415. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.70415>
9. Lantis li JC, Lullove EJ, Liden B, et al. Final efficacy and cost analysis of a fish skin graft vs standard of care in the management of chronic diabetic foot ulcers: a prospective, multicenter, randomized controlled clinical trial. *Wounds*. 2023;35(4):71-79. <https://doi.org/10.25270/wnds/22094>
10. Ruiz-Muñoz M, Martinez-Barrios FJ, Cervera-Garvi P, Lopezosa-Reca E, Marchena-Rodriguez AJ. Fish skin grafts versus standard of care on wound healing of chronic diabetic foot ulcers: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Prim Care Diabetes*. 2024;18(3):291-298. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcd.2024.03.008>